THE 2006 BUDGET AND TAX REFORM: A MISSED OPPORTUNITY?

Robin Boadway QED, Queen's University

Prepared for the John Deutsch Institute Budget 2006 Forum, Queen's University, June 23, 2006

INTRODUCTION

Thesis

- The Canadian tax system is in need of reform and rationalization
- Revenue-neutral tax reform creates losers and gainers necessarily
- Tax reform ideal when cash available: the 2006 Budget would have been ideal

OVERVIEW

- My talk will focus on what kind of a tax reform <u>would have been</u> suitable: it is time for a discussion of fundamental tax reform
- Most attention will be devoted to the tax system as it applies to households rather than businesses, although implications for the latter will be mentioned

A CATALOGUE OF THE MAIN PROBLEMS

- Capital income taxed too highly on economic grounds
 - Redistributive taxation should be based on advantages that are outside household control (luck versus choice)
 - Labor income more related to advantages (talent), and capital income to choice (saving)
 - Some capital income based on inheritance, so maybe we do not want zero capital tax

Different assets treated very differently

Some capital income sheltered: housing, pension savings, human capital

Taxable assets treated differently: capital gains versus dividends and interest

• System of saving for retirement inefficient and inequitable

Punitive effective taxation of RRSP/RRP savings by low-income persons

- Limited averaging
- Limited loss offsetting
- Tax credits inconsistent and work to the disadvantage of low income persons: some refundable, some not
- Rate structure is of limited progressivity, partly because of worries about capital income

- Excise taxes not well designed for their tasks and are very regressive
- Harmonization of taxes unfinished business
- Implicit decentralization of GST a move in the wrong direction: will reduce the incentive for harmonization and tip the tax mix toward the income tax

Business taxation system flawed

Preferential treatment of some sectors

> Absence of full loss offsetting

> Imperfect integration

> Incentives to park profits in low-tax provinces

High property and capital taxes on business

> Income trusts both a symptom and a problem

A TAX REFORM MANIFESTO

- Addressing these issues involves some fundamental, but do-able tax reform
- Models exist elsewhere that could be adopted to Canada and ameliorate some of the most egregious problems
- But no panacea or perfect solution exists

THE PROPOSAL

• The model for household taxation involves two main components

A dual income tax at the personal level
The GST at pre-budget rates.

- Rationalization of business taxation, particularly as it applies to resources
- Rationalization of the federal-provincial tax system

Dual Income Taxation

- Separate rate structures apply to capital and non-capital income
- Non-capital income (labour income, pensions and transfers) is subject to a progressive rate structure, with full refundability of all credits
- Capital income taxed uniformly at the lowest rate on non-capital income

- Capital income taxed fully as possible
- If sheltered, retirement savings should be both registered and pre-paid
- Income of small businesses split between labour and capital by a rule
- Corporations taxed at capital income rate and integrated (including sheltered savings)

Capital income could be federal, and provinces could co-occupy the noncapital income base

 The treatment of bequests and inheritances would need to be reevaluated

Deemed realization of capital gains at death partially fulfils that, but with a lower capital tax rate, estate taxation needs revisiting

Advantages of Dual Taxation

- Collection & compliance cost reduced (use of financial intermediaries)
- Wasteful tax planning eliminated,
- Excessive taxation of capital income reduced
- Progressivity of labour income taxation unconstrained

Sales Taxation

- Lack of sales tax harmonization a pressing but difficult problems
- Skeptical that a harmonized federalprovincial VAT system is feasible or desirable
- Reducing the federal GST rate is not conducive to sales tax harmonization, even if tax room taken by the provinces

PROPOSAL

- Restore the federal GST rate of 7
 percent
- In the longer run, combine expanded GST with a revenue-sharing system giving provinces a share of revenue
- Provinces may balk, but the quid pro quo could be a guaranteed provincial share of revenues

Business Taxation

- Dual income tax addresses part of the business income tax reform agenda
 - Full integration of personal and business income taxes
 - Smooth transition from unincorporated to corporate business forms
- Still, some unfinished business with respect to business tax reform

PROPOSAL

- Remove preferential treatment of resource industries, to reduce inefficient allocation and increase the federal resource revenues
- Eliminate deduction of provincial resource levies from the corporate income tax base to provide the federal government access to resource revenues for equalization

THE FISCAL BALANCE ISSUE

- The form of the tax system is of integral importance to resolving fiscal balance
- Turning over tax room to the provinces promotes disharmonization and precludes meaningful reform
- Dual income taxation facilitates addressing the fiscal balance

PROPOSAL

- Uniform national GST with revenue sharing for provinces
- Dual income tax with capital taxation federal, and provinces with access to non-capital tax
- Harmonization of non-capital tax base
- Turn over payroll taxes to provinces
- Ideally, corporate tax federal

PROBLEMS!

- Provinces may not accept national GST even with guaranteed revenues: fallback is status quo
- Provinces may not abandon capital tax or corporate tax: fallback is federal dual income tax
- Need to renegotiate tax harmonization agreements